Long-Short Term Memory and RNN Architectures

Neural Networks Design And Application





Recurrent neural networks













Recurrent neural networks





tanh function







Q: what issue will we have?



Q: what issue will we have?





ResNet: shortcut connection



implication: same dimension

Long-short term memory (LSTM) networks

















Composition of all $\{h_t\}$































Pointwise Operation



$$f_t = \sigma \left(W_f \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_f \right)$$


$$f_t = \sigma \left(W_f \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_f \right)$$





Forget gate: Whether to erase cell

$$f_t = \sigma \left(W_f \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_f \right)$$



38



Input gate

$$i_t = \sigma \left(W_i \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_i \right)$$
$$\tilde{C}_t = \tanh(W_C \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_C)$$

cell input activation vector



$$C_t = f_t * C_{t-1} + i_t * \tilde{C}_t$$

Cell state



Output gate

$$o_t = \sigma \left(W_o \left[h_{t-1}, x_t \right] + b_o \right)$$
$$h_t = o_t * \tanh \left(C_t \right)$$









Image from Fig 8.10.1 of Dive into Deep Learning at https://classic.d2l.ai/chapter_recurrent-neural-networks/deep-rnn.html



Image from Fig 8.10.1 of Dive into Deep Learning at https://classic.d2l.ai/chapter_recurrent-neural-networks/deep-rnn.html



For each unit: a mapping $\mathbf{RNN}: h_t^{l-1}, h_{t-1}^l \to h_t^l \qquad \quad h_t^l \in \mathbb{R}^n$

Image from Fig 8.10.1 of Dive into Deep Learning at https://classic.d2l.ai/chapter_recurrent-neural-networks/deep-rnn.html

Formulations from: Zaremba, Wojciech, Ilya Sutskever, and Oriol Vinyals. "Recurrent neural network regularization." *arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.2329* (2014).



For each unit: a mapping $\mathrm{RNN}: h_t^{l-1}, h_{t-1}^l \to h_t^l \qquad h_t^l \in \mathbb{R}^n$

 $h_t^l = f(T_{n,n}h_t^{l-1} + T_{n,n}h_{t-1}^l)$, where $f \in \{\text{sigm}, \text{tanh}\}$

Image from Fig 8.10.1 of *Dive into Deep Learning* at <u>https://classic.d2l.ai/chapter_recurrent-neural-networks/deep-rnn.html</u>

Formulations from: Zaremba, Wojciech, Ilya Sutskever, and Oriol Vinyals. "Recurrent neural network regularization." *arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.2329* (2014).



Image from Fig 8.10.1 of *Dive into Deep Learning* at <u>https://classic.d2l.ai/chapter_recurrent-neural-networks/deep-rnn.html</u> Formulations from: Zaremba, Wojciech, Ilya Sutskever, and Oriol Vinyals. "Recurrent neural network

regularization." arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.2329 (2014).



Image from Fig 8.10.1 of *Dive into Deep Learning* at <u>https://classic.d2l.ai/chapter_recurrent-neural-networks/deep-rnn.html</u> Formulations from: Zaremba, Wojciech, Ilya Sutskever, and Oriol Vinyals. "Recurrent neural network

regularization." arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.2329 (2014).













$$h_t = f \begin{bmatrix} h_{t-1} \\ x_t \end{bmatrix} \qquad \qquad f = \tanh(\cdot)$$













Image from Fig 8.10.1 of *Dive into Deep Learning* at <u>https://classic.d2l.ai/chapter_recurrent-neural-networks/deep-rnn.html</u> Formulations from: Zaremba, Wojciech, Ilya Sutskever, and Oriol Vinyals. "Recurrent neural network

regularization." arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.2329 (2014).



$$\text{LSTM}: h_t^{l-1}, h_{t-1}^l, c_{t-1}^l \to h_t^l, c_t^l$$

Image from Fig 8.10.1 of Dive into Deep Learning at https://classic.d2l.ai/chapter_recurrent-neural-networks/deep-rnn.html

Formulations from: Zaremba, Wojciech, Ilya Sutskever, and Oriol Vinyals. "Recurrent neural network regularization." *arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.2329* (2014).



$$\mathrm{LSTM}: h_t^{l-1}, h_{t-1}^l, c_{t-1}^l \to h_t^l, c_t^l \qquad h_t^l \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

Image from Fig 8.10.1 of *Dive into Deep Learning* at <u>https://classic.d2l.ai/chapter_recurrent-neural-networks/deep-rnn.html</u>

Formulations from: Zaremba, Wojciech, Ilya Sutskever, and Oriol Vinyals. "Recurrent neural network regularization." *arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.2329* (2014).



$$\begin{split} & c_t^l \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ \text{LSTM} : h_t^{l-1}, h_{t-1}^l, c_{t-1}^l \to h_t^l, c_t^l & h_t^l \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ & \begin{pmatrix} i \\ f \\ o \\ g \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \text{sigm} \\ \text{sigm} \\ \text{sigm} \\ \text{tanh} \end{pmatrix} T_{2n,4n} \begin{pmatrix} h_t^{l-1} \\ h_{t-1}^l \end{pmatrix} \\ & c_t^l = f \odot c_{t-1}^l + i \odot g \\ & h_t^l = o \odot \tanh(c_t^l) \end{split}$$



$$\begin{split} & c_t^l \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ \text{LSTM} : h_t^{l-1}, h_{t-1}^l, c_{t-1}^l \to h_t^l, c_t^l & h_t^l \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ \begin{pmatrix} i \\ o \\ g \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \text{sigm} \\ \text{sigm} \\ \text{sigm} \\ \text{tanh} \end{pmatrix} T_{2n,4n} \begin{pmatrix} h_t^{l-1} \\ h_{t-1}^l \end{pmatrix} \\ c_t^l &= f \odot c_{t-1}^l + i \odot g \\ h_t^l &= o \odot \tanh(c_t^l) \end{split}$$



Variant: peephole connections



$$f_t = \sigma \left(W_f \cdot [C_{t-1}, h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_f \right)$$

$$i_t = \sigma \left(W_i \cdot [C_{t-1}, h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_i \right)$$

$$o_t = \sigma \left(W_o \cdot [C_t, h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_o \right)$$

Variant: peephole connections



Variant: gated recurrent unit (GRU)



Variant: gated recurrent unit (GRU)



Vanilla RNN and LSTM





Generating baby names

Rudi Levette Berice Lussa Hany Mareanne Chrestina Carissy Marylen Hammine Janye Marlise Jacacrie Hendred Romand Charienna Nenotto Ette Dorane Wallen Marly Darine Salina Elvyn Ersia Maralena Minoria Ellia Charmin Antley Nerille Chelon Walmor Evena Jeryly Stachon Charisa Allisa Anatha Cathanie Geetra Alexie Jerin Cassen Herbett Cossie Velen Daurenge Robester Shermond Terisa Licia Roselen Ferine Jayn Lusine Charyanne Sales Sanny Resa Wallon Martine Merus Jelen Candica Wallin Tel Rachene Tarine Ozila Ketia Shanne Arnande Karella Roselina Alessia Chasty Deland Berther Geamar Jackein Mellisand Sagdy Nenc Lessie Rasemy Guen Gavi Milea Anneda Margoris Janin Rodelin Zeanna Elyne Janah Ferzina Susta Pey Castina

Generating C code

```
/*
* Increment the size file of the new incorrect UI FILTER group information
* of the size generatively.
*/
static int indicate policy(void)
 int error;
 if (fd == MARN_EPT) {
   /*
    * The kernel blank will coeld it to userspace.
    */
   if (ss->segment < mem total)
     unblock graph and set blocked();
   else
     ret = 1;
   goto bail;
 3
 segaddr = in SB(in.addr);
 selector = seg / 16;
 setup works = true;
 for (i = 0; i < blocks; i++) {
   seg = buf[i++];
   bpf = bd->bd.next + i * search;
   if (fd) {
     current = blocked;
   }
 }
 rw->name = "Getjbbregs";
 bprm self clearl(&iv->version);
 regs->new = blocks[(BPF STATS << info->historidac)] | PFMR CLOBATHINC SECONDS << 12;
 return segtable;
```

Credit for https://github.com/wangshusen/DeepLearning/blob/master/Slides/9_RNN_5.pdf

Generating academic articles

For $\bigoplus_{n=1,...,m}$ where $\mathcal{L}_{m_{\bullet}} = 0$, hence we can find a closed subset \mathcal{H} in \mathcal{H} and any sets \mathcal{F} on X, U is a closed immersion of S, then $U \to T$ is a separated algebraic space.

Proof. Proof of (1). It also start we get

 $S = \operatorname{Spec}(R) = U \times_X U \times_X U$

and the comparicoly in the fibre product covering we have to prove the lemma generated by $\coprod Z \times_U U \to V$. Consider the maps M along the set of points Sch_{fppf} and $U \to U$ is the fibre category of S in U in Section, ?? and the fact that any U affine, see Morphisms, Lemma ??. Hence we obtain a scheme S and any open subset $W \subset U$ in Sh(G) such that $Spec(R') \to S$ is smooth or an

 $U = \bigcup U_i \times_{S_i} U_i$

which has a nonzero morphism we may assume that f_i is of finite presentation over S. We claim that $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is a scheme where $x, x', s'' \in S'$ such that $\mathcal{O}_{X,x'} \to \mathcal{O}'_{X',x'}$ is separated. By Algebra, Lemma ?? we can define a map of complexes $\operatorname{GL}_{S'}(x'/S'')$ and we win.

To prove study we see that $\mathcal{F}|_U$ is a covering of \mathcal{X}' , and \mathcal{T}_i is an object of $\mathcal{F}_{X/S}$ for i > 0 and \mathcal{F}_p exists and let \mathcal{F}_i be a presheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -modules on \mathcal{C} as a \mathcal{F} -module. In particular $\mathcal{F} = U/\mathcal{F}$ we have to show that

$$\tilde{I}^{\bullet} = \mathcal{I}^{\bullet} \otimes_{\text{Spec}(k)} \mathcal{O}_{S,s} - i_X^{-1} \mathcal{F})$$

is a unique morphism of algebraic stacks. Note that

$$\operatorname{Arrows} = (Sch/S)_{fppf}^{opp}, (Sch/S)_{fppf}$$

and

 $V = \Gamma(S, \mathcal{O}) \longmapsto (U, \operatorname{Spec}(A))$

is an open subset of X. Thus U is affine. This is a continuous map of X is the inverse, the groupoid scheme S.

Proof. See discussion of sheaves of sets.

The result for prove any open covering follows from the less of Example ??. It may replace S by $X_{spaces, étale}$ which gives an open subspace of X and T equal to S_{Zar} , see Descent, Lemma ??. Namely, by Lemma ?? we see that R is geometrically regular over S.

Lemma 0.1. Assume (3) and (3) by the construction in the description. Suppose $X = \lim |X|$ (by the formal open covering X and a single map $\operatorname{Proj}_{Y}(A) =$

Suppose $A = \min\{X \mid \text{ (by the formal open covering } X \text{ and a single map } 1 \}$ Spec(B) over U compatible with the complex

 $Set(\mathcal{A}) = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_{X, \mathcal{O}_X}).$

When in this case of to show that $Q \rightarrow C_{Z/X}$ is stable under the following result in the second conditions of (1), and (3). This finishes the proof. By Definition ?? (without element is when the closed subschemes are catenary. If T is surjective we may assume that T is connected with residue fields of S. Moreover there exists a closed subspace $Z \subset X$ of X where U in X' is proper (some defining as a closed subset of the uniqueness it suffices to check the fact that the following theorem

(1) f is locally of finite type. Since S = Spec(R) and Y = Spec(R).

Proof. This is form all sheaves of sheaves on X. But given a scheme U and a surjective étale morphism $U \to X$. Let $U \cap U = \coprod_{i=1,...,n} U_i$ be the scheme X over S at the schemes $X_i \to X$ and $U = \lim_i X_i$.

The following lemma surjective restrocomposes of this implies that $\mathcal{F}_{x_0} = \mathcal{F}_{x_0} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{X},...,0}$.

Lemma 0.2. Let X be a locally Noetherian scheme over S, $E = \mathcal{F}_{X/S}$. Set $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{J}_1 \subset \mathcal{I}'_n$. Since $\mathcal{I}^n \subset \mathcal{I}^n$ are nonzero over $i_0 \leq \mathfrak{p}$ is a subset of $\mathcal{J}_{n,0} \circ \overline{\mathcal{A}}_2$ works.

Lemma 0.3. In Situation ??. Hence we may assume q' = 0.

Proof. We will use the property we see that \mathfrak{p} is the mext functor (??). On the other hand, by Lemma ?? we see that

 $D(\mathcal{O}_{X'}) = \mathcal{O}_X(D)$

where K is an F-algebra where δ_{n+1} is a scheme over S.

Credit for https://github.com/wangshusen/DeepLearning/blob/master/Slides/9_RNN_5.pdf

In practice




Q: can vanilla RNN handle machine translation?



Q: can we find some correlation?









Past sequence



Past sequence



the clouds are in the sky



Past sequence

I like this town very much. I started my undergraduate study in 2020 and my major is computer science. I like programming and reading. I usually get up at 7AM and do some exercise. I also go fishing at weekend. I grew up in France. I spent my childhood outdoors. Whether it was riding my bicycle around my neighborhood pretending it was a motorcycle, making mud cakes, going on treasure hunts, making and selling perfume out of strong smelling flowers, or simply laying on the grass underneath the sun with a soccer ball waiting for someone to come out and play with me, the outdoors was where I spent my childhood and I cannot be more appreciative of it. I speak fluent *French*.



Q: is vanilla RNN able to use information flow to generate Européen?



Q: is vanilla RNN able to use information flow to generate Espace?



Q: is vanilla RNN able to use information flow to generate **Espace**?

Q: what if we need some future sequence to determine the output sequence?































Q: is vanilla RNN able to generate an output with different length of input?







Input length = ??



Input length = t+3



Output length = ??

Input length = t+3



Input length = t+3

Q: what if the input and output sequences are of different length?



Figure 10.12 in deep learning book



A typo in Figure 10.12 in deep learning book







Q: the length of the input?


















